

Assessing the Effectiveness & Security Implications of AI Code Generators



Maryam Taeb, Dr. Shonda Bernadin, Dr. Hongmei Chi





Large Language Models & Programming

- Al pair programming adopted to help across the tech stack
- The quality of AI-Assisted Code generation tools evaluation
- Can LLMs enhance, debug, generate and document code?



A New Era for cybersecurity training

- 31% of university students are using AI to assist with their assignments
- Al coding tools has caused \$0.8% of industrial code vulnerabilities
- General-purpose vs Enterprise grade ai code generation



INTO YOUR CODE BY AI CODING TOOLS?



Evaluation Approach

 Main goal —> Evaluating secure coding practices of LLMs and the use of non-vulnerable built-in functions in recommended code





Covered Vulnerabilities



Type II Log File Analysis Microsoft Event & WEB Application Log File Parsing & Analysis using NLP



Tool: Loggly by SolarWinds Vulnerability: SQL Injection - Windows Administrative Events - Web Server Client & Server-Side Events



Tool: LogViewPlus Vulnerability: Cross Site Scripting - Brute Force Attack - Cross Site Forgery - SYN Flood







Tool: Clang-Tidy Vulnerability: Insufficient Input Validation: - CWE-685 & 134 - Format String Attack - Invalid String Format - Un-Sequenced

Modification to Variable



Type I Source Code Vulnerability Detection Using Static Analysis Tools Exploring CVEs & CWEs and their fixes



Tool: Visual Code Grepper Vulnerability: Memory Errors & Leaks: - CWE-119 & 120 - Buffer Overflow - Memory Allocation



Tool: FlawFinder Vulnerability: XNU Memory Leak - CVE-2017-13868 - Detecting and Resolving the Vulnerability



Type III Secure Coding Practices Introducing Automatic monitoring of deployed code using ML Techniques



Tool: PwnXSS Vulnerability: XSS - CVE-2021-33570 - Stored XSS - Developing a Scanner from scratch



Tool: Snyk, Dependabot, **Deep Representation** Learning Vulnerability: Pointer Dereference - CWE-20,457,805,



Generative AI Code Generation tools

- CodeX Creating functions that would execute equivalent tasks as our code snippets, and analyzing the usage of built-in functions
- CodeBERT Predicting the names of built-in functions through the masking technique
- GPT 3.5
 - Assessing whether the generated code produced by CodeX, CodeBert, and the previously designed vulnerable code contained any vulnerabilities
 - Receiving recommendations for mitigation techniques and comparing suggestions with static code analysis tools' suggestions

Vulnerable Code illustration

A function that takes user input and displays it as an output

- printf \rightarrow expects a format string
 - undefined behavior
- No input validation or error checking on the scanf
 - undefined behavior
- Input variable is declared as a global variable
 - Hard maintenance
- No bounds checking on the input variable
 - buffer overflow vulnerability.
- No return statement
 - undefined behavior

#include <stdio.h>

- 2 int input;
- scanf ("%d", &input); 3
- printf (input); 4

Example Behavior

CodeX

CodeBERT

<pre>1 #include <stdio.h></stdio.h></pre>		1 #i	nclude <std< th=""></std<>
2 - int	<pre>main() {</pre>	2 - in	t main() {
3	<pre>char input[100];</pre>	3	char inpu
4	<pre>printf("Please enter something:");</pre>	4	printf("P
5	<pre>scanf("%s",input);</pre>	5	= ("%s",i
6	<pre>printf("You entered: %s\n",input);</pre>	6	printf("Y
7	return0;	7	return0;
8 }		8 }	

GPT 3.5 vulnerability mitigation recommendations

lio.h>

it[100]; lease enter something:"); .nput); /ou entered: %s\n",input);

GPT3.5

#include <stdio.h> 2 • int main() { char userInput [100]; 3 fgets (userInput, 100, stdin); 4 5 printf ("You entered: %s", userInput); 6 }

```
#include <stdio.h> #include <stdlib.h>
1
 2 - int main() {
 3
        int input;
 4
        if (scanf ("%d", &input) != 1)
 5 -
        {
            printf("Errror: invalid input \n");
 6
7
            return 1;
 8
        }
        printf("%d\n", input);
 9
        return 0;
10
11 }
```

Results



Discussion

- CodeX had the highest code generation capability, generating code
- GPT 3.5 had relatively lower code generation capabilities but excelled in explaining potential vulnerabilities, commenting on the code, and analyzing log files
- CodeBERT weak Performance in terms of built-in function suggestion
- Best use case of AI-Assisted Code generation tools is in Acceleration mode when Programmer already knows what they want to do next



Thank you for your time

Maryam Taeb