Using the NICE Framework as a Metric to Analyze Student Competencies

Jennifer Fowler Strategic Security Sciences Argonne National Laboratory Lemont, USA jfowler@anl.gov

Abstract—This paper describes how the Department of Energy's CyberForce Competition[™] uses anomalies to map collegiate teams' comprehension of different topics in cybersecurity. The competition is currently in its fourth iteration with a fifth planned in November 2019. Anomalies are challenges that collegiate teams must solve in order to receive points and vary in nature, timing, and skillset. All successful teams are able to manage the scale and prioritize which anomalies to complete. This paper identifies which NICE pillars students scored in the upper percentile, and which topics students averaged a lower score. These results may help educators in creating training programs, classes and curriculum to help close these knowledge gaps.

Keywords—NICE Framework, CyberForce, anomalies, competencies, competition

I. INTRODUCTION

The Department of Energy's Annual CyberForce CompetitionTM is a one-day event that tests collegiate teams' ability to detect and respond to threat actors and vulnerabilities utilizing a common red vs blue setup. A typical competition has a Blue Team (defenders) that protect a network infrastructure from the Red Team (attackers). A blue team consists of college students who secure and harden their competition system. A red team consists of students or industry professionals that work to cause cyber destruction to the blue teams' network infrastructures. The competition is scored utilizing a point system. The blue team with the most points at the end of the competition is declared the winner of the event.

This event seeks to simulate the current real-world atmosphere that individuals face in cybersecurity by giving students a dynamic environment consisting of a combination of legacy systems and the flexibility to deploy innovative solutions to mitigate vulnerabilities installed on rudimentary systems, such as moving target defense (MTD), defense in depth, custom operating systems or other innovative approaches.

II. TOPOLOGY

Each Blue Team comprises college students that defend their systems against threat actors. The Red Team comprises industry professionals, national laboratory employees, and volunteers who have a background in information technology (IT). Red Team members attempt to infiltrate the Blue Nate Evans Strategic Security Sciences Argonne National Laboratory Lemont, USA nevans@anl.gov

Teams' systems and cause disruption or system failure of either the backend interface, customer portal, or industrial control system (ICS). The CFC also adds a "Green Team" element, which consists of members who evaluate the usability of the Blue Teams' customer portal by following provided instructions to perform their given tasks. The White Team comprises the technical staff responsible for running and maintaining the competition. All of the aforementioned teams work synchronously to contribute to the competition. Fig. 1 shows a diagram of teams and their subsequent responsibilities.



Fig. 1. CyberForce Competition Communication Flow

The CyberForce Competition also incorporates anomalies, which are challenges based on different cybersecurity skillsets and are meant as additional challenges to pull attention away from the traditional job of protecting systems from cyber-attack. Over the years the number of anomalies has grown, reaching a point where ideally not every team can accomplish them all.

Anomaly distribution breakdown:

- 2016: 5 total
- 2017: 8 total
- 2018 April: 48 total
- 2018 December: 68 total

As shown above, the anomalies committee has expanded both the type and number of anomalies that are distributed to competitors in order to more accurately gauge proficiencies. Anomalies were created in partnership with seven national laboratories: Argonne National Laboratory (ANL); Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL); Idaho National Laboratory (INL); Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL); Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL); Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL); and Sandia National Laboratory (SNL).

2

III. GOALS

Competition anomalies provide unique challenges for Blue Team competitors. The creation and deployment of anomalies was based on a five-pronged goal.

- 1. Expose students to real world challenges that may occur within the field of information security;
- 2. Support the Red Team with added exploits as needed;
- Measure students' ability to solve a variety of technical problems that are mapped to a widely used framework;
- 4. Simulate a real-world network where administrators may not be able to focus on monitoring systems due to other challenges or workloads; and
- 5. Provide a management or task coordination role that successful teams would need to select and prioritize anomalies based on strengths and weaknesses among the team.

The success or failure of the Blue Teams' ability to solve the anomalies allowed for a look into potential knowledge gaps in computer science and cybersecurity comprehension. These anomalies produced metrics that provided insight into the Blue Teams' comprehension of different knowledge, skills, and abilities.

As stated above, one of the goals of this competition is to acquire measurable metrics in order to analyze and identify comprehension gaps. These metrics, applied the National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education (NICE) Cybersecurity Workforce Framework, help identify knowledge gaps. Since these collegiate competitors are likely to enter the workforce within the next few years, comprehension gap identification becomes important in order to aid in workforce development. Through trend analysis, this research can also be applied towards improving K-12 curriculum, post education training needs, and inform colligate class shortfalls in order to prepare the next generation of cyber defenders.

IV. METHODOLOGY

The anomalies committee created challenges that mapped to the NICE Cybersecurity Workforce Framework, which is part of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-181, a national resource that categorizes and describes cybersecurity work.¹ The NICE Framework helps establish capability indicators that can help organizations and educational institutions build formal qualification requirements based off the framework. This framework aids organizations in meeting cybersecurity workforce development goals. The NICE Framework establishes a taxonomy and common lexicon that describes cybersecurity work and workers irrespective of where or for whom the work is performed. Fig. 2 shows the categories upon which the NICE framework is built. The rest of this paper will explore the areas in which students excelled or fell short of solving anomalies that mapped to the NICE Cybersecurity Workforce Framework.



Fig. 2. NICE Framework Categories

V. FRAMEWORK MAPPING

The NICE Framework has seven high level categories: Securely Provision; Analyze; Operate and Maintain; Oversee and Govern; Collect and Operate; Protect and Defend, and Investigate. The framework has 33 specialty areas that target distinct areas of cybersecurity work. The framework also has 52 work roles that are detailed groupings of cybersecurity work, composed of specific knowledge, skills, and abilities (KSA) required to perform tasks in a work role. The 6 anomalies created for the competition are mapped to the NICE Framework categories as well as the specific KSA ID, as shown in the appendix.

Each lab was responsible for creating anomalies that were defined within a certain framework category. Each anomaly is then mapped to a specific task and work role.

- ANL: Protect and Defend
- BNL: Securely Provision
- INL: Operate and Maintain
- LBNL: Oversee and Govern
- ORNL: Analyze
- PNNL: Collect and Operate
- SNL: Investigate

Some labs decided to create clusters of anomalies, where students had to parse through large swaths of information in

¹ https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-181/final

order to deduce the answer. BNL required students to find the insider threat within seemingly innocuous (fictions) employee user data. PNNL had students recover answers from a forensics machine in order to receive points. However, a large majority of students were tested on standalone anomalies that tested their knowledge of different tools (Splunk, Wireshark, Ida Pro) and skills (forensic work, reverse engineering, packet analysis) in order to find the flag or answer and submit it to the scoreboard.

The scoreboard is a multi-functional tool that allows students to submit anomalies, intrusion reports, and documentation. It also checks to see if services (such as ModBus, FTP or other protocols) are up and active. The scoreboard also has a survey area where green team can to interface with the competition and submit the usability scores of the various blue teams.

Due to the complex nature of the scoreboard and the number of requests that it was trying to process, the scoreboard could not handle the number of requests being made across seven different laboratories, and its services (including anomaly submission) were down for a part of the competition. As such, the following anomaly data may not reflect anomalies that students had finished or completed, but did not have a chance to submit. Table I identifies the following:

- Hour the anomaly was deployed,
- Anomaly question,

3

- Anomaly answer,
- NICE task and KSA ID,
- Point allocation, and
- Average points and overall percentage of students that received points.

VI. GAP ANALYSIS

This section will identify gap analysis and proficiency connections to KSAs for each anomaly. Table II shows the anomaly number, and how many teams attempted each anomaly.

This statistical data indicates that students had hesitancies with the forensics machine anomalies (anomalies 21-31). These anomalies map to the Collect and Operate category. Students seemed to have better success with the network pcap forensics (anomalies 35, 36, 41, 43, 48, 49, 54, 56, 57). This indicates that students have a firm grasp on the following tasks: T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240, and knowledge identifications: K0001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004.

Task Identification Numbers:

- T0286: Perform file system forensic analysis.
- T0238: Extract data using data carving techniques (e.g., Forensic Tool Kit [FTK], Foremost).

- T0396: Process image with appropriate tools depending on analyst's goals.
- T0240: Capture and analyze network traffic associated with malicious activities using network monitoring tools.

KSA Identification Numbers:

- K0001: Knowledge of computer networking concepts and protocols, and network security methodologies.
- K0178: Knowledge of secure software deployment methodologies, tools, and practices.
- K0179: Knowledge of network security architecture concepts including topology, protocols, components, and principles (e.g., application of defense-in-depth).
- K0339: Knowledge of how to use network analysis tools to identify vulnerabilities.
- S0004: Skill in analyzing network traffic capacity and performance characteristics.

Students also seemed to struggle with anomaly 32 - 34, 49, anomaly 52, 57, 59-61, 63, and 66.

Anomaly 32 is a forensics anomaly that maps to the Operate and Maintain pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0696: Exploit network devices, security devices, and/or terminals or environments using various methods or tools.

KSA Identification Number:

- A0005: Ability to decrypt digital data collections.
- A0035: Ability to dissect a problem and examine the interrelationships between data that may appear unrelated.

Anomaly 33 is a password analysis in a memory dump question that maps to the Analyze pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0294: Ability to dissect a problem and examine the interrelationships between data that may appear unrelated.

KSA Identification Number:

 K0129: Knowledge of command-line tools (e.g., mkdir, mv, ls, passwd, grep).

Anomaly 34 asks the student to identify a bad file, and maps to the Investigate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0286: Perform file system forensic analysis.

KSA Identification Number:

4

- K0017: Knowledge of concepts and practices of processing digital forensic data.
- K0060: Knowledge of operating systems.
- K0117: Knowledge of file system implementations (e.g., New Technology File System [NTFS], File Allocation Table [FAT], File Extension [EXT]).

Anomaly 49 comprises network pcap analysis, which maps to the Collect and Operate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

- T0286: Perform file system forensic analysis.
- T0238: Extract data using data carving techniques (e.g., Forensic Tool Kit [FTK], Foremost).
- T0396: Process image with appropriate tools depending on analyst's goals.
- T0240: Capture and analyze network traffic associated with malicious activities using network monitoring tools.

KSA Identification Number:

- K0001: Knowledge of computer networking concepts and protocols, and network security methodologies.
- K0178: Knowledge of secure software deployment methodologies, tools, and practices.
- K0179: Knowledge of network security architecture concepts including topology, protocols, components, and principles (e.g., application of defense-in-depth).
- K0339: Knowledge of how to use network analysis tools to identify vulnerabilities.
- S0004: Skill in analyzing network traffic capacity and performance characteristics.

Anomaly 52 comprises malware origin analysis, and maps to the Analyze pillar.

Task Identification Number:

- T0182: Perform tier 1, 2, and 3 malware analysis.
- T0288: Perform static malware analysis.

KSA Identification Number:

- A0010: Ability to analyze malware.
- K0479: Knowledge of malware analysis and characteristics.
- S0131: Skill in analyzing malware.

Anomaly 57 is a network pcap analysis question that maps to the Collect and Operate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

- T0286: Perform file system forensic analysis.
- T0238: Extract data using data carving techniques (e.g., Forensic Tool Kit [FTK], Foremost).
- T0396: Process image with appropriate tools depending on analyst's goals.
- T0240: Capture and analyze network traffic associated with malicious activities using network monitoring tools.

KSA Identification Number:

- K0001: Knowledge of computer networking concepts and protocols, and network security methodologies.
- K0178: Knowledge of secure software deployment methodologies, tools, and practices.
- K0179: Knowledge of network security architecture concepts including topology, protocols, components, and principles (e.g., application of defense-in-depth).
- K0339: Knowledge of how to use network analysis tools to identify vulnerabilities.
- S0004: Skill in analyzing network traffic capacity and performance characteristics.

Anomaly 59 is challenge that asks students to find the key used to encrypt the file and maps to the Investigate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0553: Apply cybersecurity functions (e.g., encryption, access control, and identity management) to reduce exploitation opportunities.

KSA Identification Number:

- K0017: Knowledge of concepts and practices of processing digital forensic data.
- K0060: Knowledge of operating systems.
- K0117: Knowledge of file system implementations (e.g., New Technology File System [NTFS], File Allocation Table [FAT], File Extension [EXT]).

Anomaly 60 was a trivia-based question that focused on HIPAA and maps to the Oversee and Govern pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0419: Acquire and maintain a working knowledge of constitutional issues which arise in relevant laws, regulations, policies, agreements, standards, procedures, or other issuances.

KSA Identification Number:

• K0003: Knowledge of laws, regulations, policies, and ethics as they relate to cybersecurity and privacy.

Anomaly 61 is trivia-based framework question that maps to Oversee and Govern pillar.

Task Identification Number:

5

• T0419: Acquire and maintain a working knowledge of constitutional issues which arise in relevant laws, regulations, policies, agreements, standards, procedures, or other issuances.

KSA Identification Number:

• K0004: Knowledge of cybersecurity and privacy principles.

Anomaly 63 is a trivia-based anomaly based on bloom's taxonomy, and maps to the Oversee and Govern pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0419: Acquire and maintain a working knowledge of constitutional issues which arise in relevant laws, regulations, policies, agreements, standards, procedures, or other issuances.

KSA Identification Number:

• K0216: Knowledge of learning levels (i.e., Bloom's Taxonomy of learning).

Anomaly 66 is a trivia-based anomaly that asked a question on the U.S. Commerce Control Lists, and operates to the Oversee and Govern pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0419: Acquire and maintain a working knowledge of constitutional issues which arise in relevant laws, regulations, policies, agreements, standards, procedures, or other issuances.

KSA Identification Number

• K0196: Knowledge of Import/Export Regulations related to cryptography and other security technologies.

Statistical analysis indicates that students have hesitancies towards the Collect and Operate pillar. In order to aid workforce development, students may want to focus on forensic skills and abilities, as well as policy knowledge.

In contrast, students seemed gravitate towards anomalies based on insider threat identification (1-15), mapping to the Securely Provision pillar. This set of anomalies had students parse through logs to try to identify anomalous behavior inside a fictitious company, called Totient, Inc. Students had to write code to parse and analyze the logfile of Totient's intranet web (HTTP) server farm. The goal is to identify and characterize non-standard browsing behavior of agents residing behind the firewall. Infrequent probing of internal web servers to uncover potential configuration mistakes, for example in document management permissions, is often a precursor to successful industrial espionage. Using histograms or other analysis techniques, you should develop statistical profiles of employees accessing the Totient intranet to determine if these patterns can be leveraged to identify suspicious activity.

Task Identification Number:

- T0708: Identify threat tactics, and methodologies.
- T0433: Conduct analysis of log files, evidence, and other information to determine best methods for identifying the perpetrator(s) of a network intrusion or other crimes.

KSA Identification Number:

- K0107: Knowledge of Insider Threat investigations, reporting, investigative tools and laws/regulations.
- S0120: Skill in reviewing logs to identify evidence of past intrusions.

Statistical evidence indicates that students feel comfortable writing code to parse data, and perform data analysis. This is supported by the relatively high scores associated with the completion of these anomalies, as shown in Table III.

VII. ANOMALY SCORING

There is a loose correlation between student hesitancy and the proficiency with which students correctly answered these challenges. In general, Tables II and III indicate that a lower number of teams attempting anomalies correlates with lower averages for correct submissions. Similarly, the more teams that had more confidence in certain anomalies tended to score higher. This indicates that students have a comfort level with the Securely Provision category, and have the knowledge set to write code, conduct log analysis, and identify threat tactics and methodologies, as shown in Table III. It is important to note that not all teams attempted every anomaly, and that Table III identifies the average points for the teams that decided to participate in each anomaly.

Statistical evidence shows that students scored well in trivia-based challenges, ranking in the highest percentile (Anomalies 60 - 68). Data from Table II and Table III indicates that not only are students comfortable with writing code and parsing data, but also have solid comprehension in this area, ranking in the higher percentile of the overall competition (Anomalies 1 - 15).

Task Identification Number:

- T0708: Identify threat tactics, and methodologies.
- T0433: Conduct analysis of log files, evidence, and other information to determine best methods for identifying the perpetrator(s) of a network intrusion or other crimes.

KSA Identification Number:

- K0107: Knowledge of Insider Threat investigations, reporting, investigative tools and laws/regulations.
- S0120: Skill in reviewing logs to identify evidence of past intrusions.

Students seemed to struggle with anomalies 21-35, 37, 38, 41, 44, 46, 47, 49, 50, 52, 53, 56, 57. Anomalies 21-35 are the aforementioned anomalies that students hesitated to complete, and their task and KSA identification can be found in the Anomalies Attempted section.

Anomaly 37 is a was a Snort malware analysis anomaly, and maps to the Protect and Defend pillar.

Task Identification Number:

6

• T0288: Perform static malware analysis.

KSA Identification Number:

- S0025: Skill in detecting host and network based intrusions via intrusion detection technologies (e.g., Snort).
- S0020: Skill in developing and deploying signatures
- K0472: Knowledge of intrusion detection systems and signature development.
- K0191: Signature implementation impact for viruses, malware, and attacks.

Anomaly 38 is a network analysis anomaly, and maps to the Collect and Operate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0286: Perform file system forensic analysis.

KSA Identification Number:

• S0004: Skill in analyzing network traffic capacity and performance characteristics.

Anomaly 41 is a network pcap analysis anomaly, and maps to the Collect and Operate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

- T0286: Perform file system forensic analysis.
- T0238: Extract data using data carving techniques (e.g., Forensic Tool Kit [FTK], Foremost).
- T0396: Process image with appropriate tools depending on analyst's goals.
- T0240: Capture and analyze network traffic associated with malicious activities using network monitoring tools.

KSA Identification Number:

- K0001: Knowledge of computer networking concepts and protocols, and network security methodologies.
- K0178: Knowledge of secure software deployment methodologies, tools, and practices.
- K0179: Knowledge of network security architecture concepts including topology, protocols, components, and principles (e.g., application of defense-in-depth).

- K0339: Knowledge of how to use network analysis tools to identify vulnerabilities.
- S0004: Skill in analyzing network traffic capacity and performance characteristics.

Anomaly 44 is a network pcap anomaly, and maps to the Collect and Operate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

- T0286: Perform file system forensic analysis.
- T0238: Extract data using data carving techniques (e.g., Forensic Tool Kit [FTK], Foremost).
- T0396: Process image with appropriate tools depending on analyst's goals.
- T0240: Capture and analyze network traffic associated with malicious activities using network monitoring tools.

KSA Identification Number:

- K0001: Knowledge of computer networking concepts and protocols, and network security methodologies.
- K0178: Knowledge of secure software deployment methodologies, tools, and practices.
- K0179: Knowledge of network security architecture concepts including topology, protocols, components, and principles (e.g., application of defense-in-depth).
- K0339: Knowledge of how to use network analysis tools to identify vulnerabilities.
- S0004: Skill in analyzing network traffic capacity and performance characteristics.

Anomaly 46 is a phishing email analysis anomaly, and maps to the Analyze pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0036: Confirm what is known about an intrusion and discover new information, if possible, after identifying intrusion via dynamic analysis.

KSA Identification Number:

• S0052: Skill in the use of social engineering techniques. (e.g., phishing, baiting, tailgating, etc.).

Anomaly 47 is a image analysis anomaly, and maps to the investigate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0396: Process image with appropriate tools depending on analyst's goals.

KSA Identification Number:

• K0132: Knowledge of which system files (e.g., log files, registry files, configuration files) contain

relevant information and where to find those system files.

Anomaly 49 comprises network pcap analysis, which maps to the Collect and Operate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

- T0286: Perform file system forensic analysis.
- T0238: Extract data using data carving techniques (e.g., Forensic Tool Kit [FTK], Foremost).
- T0396: Process image with appropriate tools depending on analyst's goals.
- T0240: Capture and analyze network traffic associated with malicious activities using network monitoring tools.

KSA Identification Number:

- K0001: Knowledge of computer networking concepts and protocols, and network security methodologies.
- K0178: Knowledge of secure software deployment methodologies, tools, and practices.
- K0179: Knowledge of network security architecture concepts including topology, protocols, components, and principles (e.g., application of defense-in-depth).
- K0339: Knowledge of how to use network analysis tools to identify vulnerabilities.
- S0004: Skill in analyzing network traffic capacity and performance characteristics.

Anomaly 50 is a file analysis anomaly, and maps to the Operate and Maintain pillar.

Task Identification Number:

• T0532: Review forensic images and other data sources (e.g., volatile data) for recovery of potentially relevant information.

KSA Identification Number:

• S0109: Skill in identifying hidden patterns or relationships.

Anomaly 52 comprises malware origin analysis, and maps to the Analyze pillar.

Task Identification Number:

- T0182: Perform tier 1, 2, and 3 malware analysis.
- T0288: Perform static malware analysis.

KSA Identification Number:

- A0010: Ability to analyze malware.
- K0479: Knowledge of malware analysis and characteristics.

• S0131: Skill in analyzing malware.

Anomaly 53 deals with string analysis to dissect iptables, and maps to the Investigate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

- T0615: Conduct in-depth research and analysis.
- T0706: Gather information about networks through traditional and alternative techniques, (e.g., social network analysis, call-chaining, traffic analysis.)

KSA Identification Number:

• K0167: Knowledge of system administration, network, and operating system hardening techniques.

Anomaly 56 is a network pcap analysis anomaly, and maps to the Collect and Operate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

- T0286: Perform file system forensic analysis.
- T0238: Extract data using data carving techniques (e.g., Forensic Tool Kit [FTK], Foremost).
- T0396: Process image with appropriate tools depending on analyst's goals.
- T0240: Capture and analyze network traffic associated with malicious activities using network monitoring tools.

KSA Identification Number:

- K0001: Knowledge of computer networking concepts and protocols, and network security methodologies.
- K0178: Knowledge of secure software deployment methodologies, tools, and practices.
- K0179: Knowledge of network security architecture concepts including topology, protocols, components, and principles (e.g., application of defense-in-depth).
- K0339: Knowledge of how to use network analysis tools to identify vulnerabilities.
- S0004: Skill in analyzing network traffic capacity and performance characteristics.

Anomaly 57 is a network pcap analysis question that maps to the Collect and Operate pillar.

Task Identification Number:

- T0286: Perform file system forensic analysis.
- T0238: Extract data using data carving techniques (e.g., Forensic Tool Kit [FTK], Foremost).
- T0396: Process image with appropriate tools depending on analyst's goals.

• T0240: Capture and analyze network traffic associated with malicious activities using network monitoring tools.

KSA Identification Number:

8

- K0001: Knowledge of computer networking concepts and protocols, and network security methodologies.
- K0178: Knowledge of secure software deployment methodologies, tools, and practices.
- K0179: Knowledge of network security architecture concepts including topology, protocols, components, and principles (e.g., application of defense-in-depth).
- K0339: Knowledge of how to use network analysis tools to identify vulnerabilities.
- S0004: Skill in analyzing network traffic capacity and performance characteristics.

VIII. CONCLUSION

While it is imperative to continue to educate students on all aspects of cybersecurity, anomaly analysis points out potential gaps in comprehension in the Collect and Operate category and the Investigate category. Additionally this analysis shows strong comfort with the Protect and Defend category demonstrating the Nation's strong coursework and student interest in that area. Continued analysis to grow the dataset and to map performance metrics to individual students is still needed, but helping to understanding this knowledge mapping and closing these knowledge gaps will help the nation's future workforce tasking with securing our cyber infrastructure. Additionally by addressing these knowledge gaps even earlier in student curriculum, ideally even in K-12, educators can accelerate competencies in students by building a solid foundation of fundamental cybersecurity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Argonne National Laboratory's work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, under contract DE-AC02- 06CH11357.

References

- Department of Energy Cybersecurity, Energy Security, and Emergency Response, CyberForceTM Competition. https://cyberforcecompetition.com.
- [2] National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education (NICE) Cybersecurity Workforce Framework, SP 800-181. https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-181/final

APPENDIX

| TABLE I. | ANOMALY TIMELINE |
|----------|------------------|
|----------|------------------|

| Timeline | Question | Solution | Task/KSA ID | KSA ID | Point Allot. | Avg. Points |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 20 | Anomaly 1: CFM Splunk Anomaly You have been given access to a Splunk instance (Connection information provided separately) that was used to collect and organize logs for a fictitious company, including a website, "imreallynotbatman.com". This website suffered an intrusion that resulted in defacement, and a number of other attacks. For this anomaly, we want you to research the incident and identify logs that show proof of scanning activity that found vulnerabilities. Once you identify the logs, please document aspects of the incident that would be appropriate for sharing with your industry peers to help them defend against a similar attack. At a minimum, please include the following information in your report: The IP address used to initiate the scanning and attack The type of Content Management System that was targeted Using the user agent string, identify the browser that the attacker used. For reference, the attack occurred on August 10th, 2016. You also should be doing all your searches against the following index: index="botsv1". | MANUAL GRADING | T0339, T016, K0106 | Medium - 8 points | 6 | 75% |

| Timeline | Question | Solution | Task/KSA ID | KSA ID | Point Allot. | Avg. Points |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Hour 3 - Anomaly 37 | Anomaly 2: Snort Anomaly The security team at your company has recently produced a log of network traffic that is particularly troubling, as they believe it might have included the exchange or Malware. Using an intrusion detection system such as Snort, analyze the packet capture they have provided to you (snort_anomaly_capture.pcap). Using the capabilities of this software, determine the name of the first incident of malware present (specifically look at traffic from 192.168.1.135:445 to 192.168.1.112:49759). Submit the name of the malware as your answer (exclude the MALWARE- CNC designation before the name). | Win.Trojan.Do ublepulsar | T0288, S0025, S0020, K0472, K0191 | Medium - 8 points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 4 - Anomaly 45 | Anomaly 3: Wireshark Anomaly A user at your company was recently seen to be browsing a potentially malicious website. A packet capture was saved from this website visit, and you have been tasked with determining what image the user opened from the website. Analyze this packet capture file (anomaly_packets.cap) with an appropriate tool and provide the answer of what animals (plural noun) are displayed in the file "DSC07858.JPG". | DOLPHINS | T0295, T0240, K0062, K0301, S0156, S0046, K0398 | Easy - 4 points | 3.82 | 95.65% |
| Hour 5 - Anomaly 51 | Anomaly 4: Asymmetric Anomaly Decrypt the following file with my public key. Key ID 2E33D58C | В | T0416, S0138 | Medium - 8 points | 5.12 | 64% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 16 | Anomaly 5: Cryptopuzzle | RED KING | T0049, K0308, K0018 | Hard-20 | 16.66 | 83.33% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 1 | Anomaly 1: Too many login failures in one week (>25/day) | Kurt.Hardy | T0708, T0433, K0107, S0120 | Easy - 4 points | 2.27 | 56.86% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 2 | Anomaly 2: Too many valid logins in one week (>50/day) | Alice.Rubio | T0708, T0433, K0107, S0120 | Easy - 4 points | 2.16 | 54.16% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 3 | Anomaly 3: Too many "same page" requests in one week (>50/day) | Wade.Carlson | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Easy - 4 points | 3.69 | 92.31% |
| hour 1 - Anomaly 4 | Anomaly 4: Too many "different page" requests in one week (>50/day) | Keisha.Stafford | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Easy - 4 points | 3.61 | 90.25% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 5 | Anomaly 5: Impossibly fast document requests in one day (~1/sec) | Tom.Lowe | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Easy - 4 points | 3.51 | 87.80% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 6 | Anomaly 6: Too many logins from too many different machines (>2/day) | Judy.Knight | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Medium - 8 points | 5.23 | 65.38 |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 7 | Anomaly 7: Too many logins from too many different locations (>2/day) | Spencer.Jones | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Medium - 8 points | 6.4 | 80% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 8 | Anomaly 8: Too many forbidden HTTP 403 requests for same page (~2/week) | Lori.Roberts | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Medium - 8 points | 4 | 50% |

| Timeline | Question | Solution | Task/KSA ID | KSA ID | Point Allot. | Avg. Points |
|------------------------|--|--|--|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 9 | Anomaly 9: Too many uncommon HTTP methods (~2/week) | Brian.Moyer | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Medium - 8 points | 7.2 | 90% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 10 | Anomaly 10: Too many void search results in a week (>50/day) | Sally.Beck | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Medium - 8 points | 7.43 | 92.86% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 11 | Anomaly 11: Too many unnatural login times (>4/day on Sat & Sun early AM) | Robert.Gross | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Hard - 11 points | 4.55 | 41.38% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 12 | Anomaly 12: Non-standard UserAgent (~1/week) | Peggy.Farley | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Hard - 12 points | 5.45 | 45.45% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 13 | Anomaly 13: NLB bypass - Direction Connection to Web1 (~1/week) | Mike.Price | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Hard - 20 points | 14.29 | 71.43% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 14 | Anomaly 14: Too "consistent in time" searches (~1/day) | Mandy.Steele | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Hard - 20 points | 15 | 75% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 15 | Anomaly 15: HTTPS downgrade - HSTS bypass (~1/week) | Dallas.Roth | T0708, T0433 K0107, S0120 | Hard - 20 points | 19.33 | 96.67% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 17 | Anomaly 2: BruteForceMe | TBD | T0643 K0362 | Medium - 8 points | 1.6 | 20% |
| Hour 2 - Anomaly 32 | Anomaly 3: Captain Planet | I'm_like_an_X OR_gate. I_literally_can't _even. | T0696 A0005,A0035 | Easy - 4 points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 5 - Anomaly 50 | Anomaly 6: Sea What? | 1_Nibble_ | T0532 S0109 | Easy - 4 points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 2 - Anomaly 33 | Anomaly 1: Password analysis in memory dump | predator | T0294 K0129 | Medium - 8 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 3 - Anomaly 39 | Anomaly 2: Malware analysis | username:pass word {Key is: Alphanetworks: wrgn28_dlob_d ir412} | T0288 A0010 | Medium- 8 Points | 2.5 | 31.25% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 18 | Anomaly 3: CVE Analysis Given a CVE that was used against the company what could have been done to prevent it. What measures should be taken in systems and with people. | MANUAL GRADING: {1. Struts} 2) -A system for keeping | T0036, T0047 K0536, T0554, T0085 | Easy - 4 Points | 115 | 28.85% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 19 | Anomaly 4: Phishing Report Create a report to inform a company of a phishing attack | track of components used to build each web application; procedures for checking for vulnerable versions of | T0332 K0049, S0120 | Easy - 4 Points | 1.75 | 43.75% |

| Timeline | Question | Solution | Task/KSA ID | KSA ID | Point Allot. | Avg. Points |
|------------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | | software frameworks; and, operational procedures for updating web applications. | | | | |
| Hour 4 - Anomaly 42 | Anomaly 5: DLL Tracking | Flag1 OS name: RaspberryPi3 or Raspberry Pi 3 Flag2 Exploited bin file flag: BusyBox | T0286 K0116, K0132 | Medium - 8 Points | 2.22 | 27.78% |
| Hour 4 - Anomaly 46 | Anomaly 6: Phishing email | CWT | T0036 S0052 | Easy - 4 Points | 0.61 | 7.69% |
| Hour 5 - Anomaly 52 | Anomaly 7: Malware origin analysis | Yautja | T0182, T0288 A0010, K0479, S0131 | Medium - 8 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 7 - Anomaly 60 | Anomaly 1: This main regulation was first enacted in 1996 and contains five titles, with the second title known as the Administrative Simplification. It required national standards for electronic health care transactions as well as national identifiers for the providers, insurance plans, and employers. Name this legislation in full. | Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 | T0419 K0003 | Easy trivia - 2 points | 2 | 100% |
| Hour 7 - Anomaly 61 | Anomaly 2: This voluntary Framework has five functions: Identify, Protect, Respond, Recover, and what? | Detect | T0419 K0004 | Easy trivia - 2 points | 1 | 50% |
| Hour 7 - Anomaly 62 | Anomaly 3: This framework provides a six step process that integrates security and risk management into the system development life cycle. It takes into account FIPS 199 & 200 as well as many NIST Special Publications. | Risk Management Framework | T0419 K0048 | Easy trivia - 2 points | 2 | 100% |
| Hour 7 - Anomaly 63 | Anomaly 4: In the original Bloom's Taxonomy, knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation were the main six categories. In the revised taxonomy, the six categories are: remember, understand, analyze, evaluate, create, and what? | Apply | T0419 K0216 | Easy trivia - 2 points | 2 | 100% |
| Hour 7 - Anomaly 64 | Anomaly 5: This framework, which we utilize throughout this competition, provides a blueprint to categorize, organize, and describe cybersecurity work into categories, specialty area, work roles, tasks, and knowledge, skills, and abilities. Most people know it as the NICE Framework. What does NICE stand for? | National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education | T0419 K0233 | Easy trivia - 2 points | 2 | 100% |
| Hour 7 - Anomaly 65 | Anomaly 6: Utilizing the Zachman Framework, if I wanted to identify the detailed who of my enterprise architecture, what would I need to provide. | Role Details | T0419 K0199 | Easy trivia - 2 points | 2 | 100% |

| Timeline | Question | Solution | Task/KSA ID | KSA ID | Point Allot. | Avg. Points |
|------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Hour 7 - Anomaly 66 | Anomaly 7: Encryption falls under the U.S. Commerce Control List. This list is broken into 10 categories. What category number does encryption fall under? (Please use numbers only). | 5 | T0419 K0196 | Easy trivia - 2 points | 2 | 100% |
| Hour 7 - Anomaly 67 | Freebie – submit your favorite party parrot | N/A | N/A | Bonus - 3 points | 3 | 100% |
| Hour 7 - Anomaly 68 | Make your shield or crown and submit to the anomaly table | N/A | N/A | Bonus - 3 points | 3 | 100% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 21 | Anomaly 7: Forensics- Angry Employee 1 How much in USD (\$) Bob demands to pay in ransom? | \$100,000 | T0286, T0238 K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | Easy - 4 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 22 | Anomaly 8: Forensics- Angry Employee 2 What data is written into offset of 110? | 6f6c 6c6f 772e 200a 596f 7572 2066 7269 | T0286, T0238 K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | Easy - 4 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 23 | Anomaly 9: Forensics- Log Analysis 1 How many entrees are in the log files for October 20? | 3 | T0286, T0238 K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | Easy - 4 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 24 | Anomaly 10: Forensics- Log Analysis 2 How many records are there for the period of October 20 –October 23? | 9 | T0286, T0238 K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | Easy - 4 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 25 | Anomaly 11: Forensics- Log Analysis 3 How many entries in each file records, records.1, records.2, records.3, records.4 respectively? | 100 109 100 50 15 | T0286, T0238 K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | Medium - 8 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 26 | Anomaly 12: Forensics- Log Analysis 4 How many entries do the logs have in total? | 374 | T0286, T0238 K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | Easy - 4 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 27 | Anomaly 13: Forensics- Log Analysis 5 How many calendar days was there no activity recorded? | 2 | T0286, T0238 K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | Medium - 8 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 28 | Anomaly 14: Forensics- Log Analysis 6 How many times did the attacker fail to enter correct credentials? | 15 | T0286, T0238 K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | Medium - 8 Points | 1.33 | 33.33% |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 29 | Anomaly 15: Forensics- File Carving 1 Somewhere inside of this raw image there is a JPEG file. What is its MD5 hash? | F6672a8315f14 ff24a5a a1046585d5f9 | T0286, T0238 K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | Medium - 8 Points | 0 | 0% |

| Timeline | Question | Solution | Task/KSA ID | KSA ID | Point Allot. | Avg. Points |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 30 | Anomaly 16: Forensics- Picture analysis | forensic analysis | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 | Medium - 8 Points | 0 | 0% |
| | | | K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | | | |
| Hour 1 - Anomaly 31 | Anomaly 17: Forensics and Cryptography | TBD | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 | Medium - 8 Points | 0 | 0% |
| | | | K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | | | |
| Hour 2 - Anomaly 35 | Anomaly 18: Network pcap 1- Heavens to Merge- atroyd | 1587296 | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 | Easy - 4 Points | 0 | 0% |
| | | | K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | | | |
| Hour 2 - Anomaly 36 | Anomaly 19: Network pcap 2 - Pixels | EVER ULTRA | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 | Easy - 4 Points | 3 | 75% |
| | | | K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | | | |
| Hour 3 - Anomaly 41 | Anomaly 20: Network pcap 3 - Port Recon | 172.20.10.154 | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 | Medium - 8 Points | 0.47 | 5.88% |
| | | | K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | | | |
| Hour 3 - Anomaly 43 | Anomaly 21: Network pcap- 4 APIPA | 000C29EE1B8 C | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 | Medium - 8 Points | 2.5 | 31.25% |
| | | | K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | | | |
| Hour 4 - Anomaly 48 | Anomaly 22: Network pcap 5- Ain't nobody got time fo dat | AS8075 | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 | Medium - 8 Points | 7.27 | 90.90% |
| | | | K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | | | |
| Hour 5 - Anomaly 49 | Anomaly 23: Network pcap 6 - Not so secret message | telnet_is_dange rous | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 | Hard - 20 Points | 0 | 0% |
| | | | K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | | | |
| Hour 5 - Anomaly 54 | Anomaly 24: Network pcap 7 - Port Recon 2 | FREEMAIL | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 | Medium - 8 Points | 1.33 | 16.67% |
| | | | K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | | | |
| Hour 6 - Anomaly 56 | Anomaly 25: Network pcap 8 - It's gonna be Epic | 1499816656 | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 | Hard - 20 | 0 | 0% |

| Timeline | Question | Solution | Task/KSA ID | KSA ID | Point Allot. | Avg. Points |
|------------------------|--|------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | | | K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | | | |
| Hour 6 - Anomaly 57 | Anomaly 26: Network pcap 9 - Treasure Boat | Redr0v3r!^ | T0286, T0238, T0396, T0240 K001, K0179, K0178, K0339, S0004 | Hard - 20 | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 2 - Anomaly 34 | Anomaly 1: bad_file Find the bad file | TBD | T0286 K0017, K0060, K0117 | Easy - 4 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 6 - Anomaly 59 | Anomaly 2: custom_encrypt Find the key used to encrypt the file. | TBD | T0553 K0017, K0060, K0117 | Medium - 8 Points | 10 | 50% |
| Hour 3 - Anomaly 40 | Anomaly 3: easy_encrypt Find the key used to encrypt the file. | TBD | T0553 K0019 | Easy - 4 Points | 4 | 50% |
| Hour 4 - Anomaly 47 | Anomaly 4: image_challenge | TBD | T0396 K0132 | Easy - 4 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 5 - Anomaly 53 | Anomaly 5: iptables Find the string that gets created as the iptables are hit by the traffic. | TBD | T0615, T0706 K0167 | Medium - 8 Points | 0 | 0% |
| Hour 6 - Anomaly 55 | Anomaly 6: shell_callback | TBD | T0615 K0301, S0046 | Easy - 4 Points | 2.31 | 46.15% |
| Hour 6 - Anomaly 58 | Anomaly 7: web_sploit | TBD | T0294, T0260 K0005, K0077 | Medium - 8 Points | 5 | 50% |

TABLE II. NUMBER OF TEAMS THAT ATTEMPTED EACH ANOMALY

| Anomaly Number | Number of Teams that Attempted Anomalies |
|--|---|
| Anomaly 1 – Insider threat/login failure | 50 |
| Anomaly 2 – Insider threat/(>50 logins) | 45 |
| Anomaly 3 – Insider threat/same page requests | 39 |
| Anomaly 4 – Insider threat/diff. page requests | 40 |
| Anomaly 5 – Insider threat/fast doc. requests | 40 |
| Anomaly 6 – Insider threat/diff. machine logins. | 26 |
| Anomaly 7 – Insider threat/diff. location logins | 25 |

| Anomaly Number | Number of Teams that Attempted Anomalies |
|---|---|
| Anomaly 8 - Insider threat/ 403 requests | 28 |
| Anomaly 9 - Insider threat/HTTP methods | 30 |
| Anomaly 10 – Insider threat/void search results | 28 |
| Anomaly 11 – Insider threat/odd login times | 29 |
| Anomaly 12 - Insider threat/odd UserAgent | 22 |
| Anomaly 13 - Insider threat/direct connection | 27 |
| Anomaly 14 – Insider threat/timed searches | 28 |

| Anomaly Number | Number of Teams that Attempted Anomalies |
|---|---|
| Anomaly 15 – Insider threat/HSTS bypass | 30 |
| Anomaly 16 – Cryptopuzzle | 12 |
| Anomaly 17 – Brute force | 10 |
| Anomaly 18 – CVE analysis | 13 |
| Anomaly 19 – Phishing report | 4 |
| Anomaly 20 – Splunk | 5 |
| Anomaly 21 – Forensics /ransom demand | 2 |
| Anomaly 22 – Forensics/ data offset | 3 |
| Anomaly 23 – Forensics/ log analysis 1 | 2 |
| Anomaly 24 – Forensics/ log analysis 2 | 5 |
| Anomaly 25 – Forensics/ log analysis 3 | 1 |
| Anomaly 26 – Forensics/ log analysis 4 | 2 |
| Anomaly 27 – Forensics/ log analysis 5 | 1 |
| Anomaly 28 – Forensics/ log analysis 6 | 9 |
| Anomaly 29 – Forensics/ file carving 1 | 2 |
| Anomaly 30 – Forensics / picture analysis | 3 |
| Anomaly 31 – Forensics/ cryptography | 2 |
| Anomaly 32 – Forensics analysis | 2 |
| Anomaly 33 – Memory dump pw analysis | 1 |
| Anomaly 34 – Bad file analysis | 1 |
| Anomaly 35 – pcap analysis 1 | 15 |
| Anomaly 36 – pcap analysis 2 | 4 |
| Anomaly 37 – Snort | 4 |
| Anomaly 38 – Network analysis | 10 |
| Anomaly 39 – Malware analysis | 16 |
| Anomaly 40 – Encryption key analysis 1 | 6 |
| Anomaly 41 – pcap analysis 3 | 17 |

| Anomaly Number | Number of Teams that Attempted Anomalies |
|--|---|
| Anomaly 42 – DDL Tracking | 18 |
| Anomaly 43 – pcap analysis 4 | 6 |
| Anomaly 44 – pcap analysis | 3 |
| Anomaly 45 – Wireshark | 23 |
| Anomaly 46 – Phishing email | 13 |
| Anomaly 47 – Image analysis | 4 |
| Anomaly 48 – pcap analysis 5 | 11 |
| Anomaly 49 – pcap analysis 6 | 2 |
| Anomaly 50 – File analysis | 6 |
| Anomaly 51 – Asymmetric cryptography | 25 |
| Anomaly 52 – Malware origin analysis | 2 |
| Anomaly 53 – Iptables | 10 |
| Anomaly 54 – pcap analysis 7 | 6 |
| Anomaly 55 – shell callback | 13 |
| Anomaly 56 – pcap analysis 8 | 4 |
| Anomaly 57 – pcap analysis 9 | 2 |
| Anomaly 58 – Web exploits | 7 |
| Anomaly 59 – Encryption key analysis 2 | 2 |
| Anomaly 60 – HIPAA trivia | 2 |
| Anomaly 61 – NICE framework trivia | 2 |
| Anomaly 62 – NIST framework trivia | 40 |
| Anomaly 63 – Bloom Taxonomy trivia | 1 |
| Anomaly 64 – NICE framework trivia | 32 |
| Anomaly 65 – Zachman framework trivia | 35 |
| Anomaly 66 – Encryption trivia | 2 |
| Anomaly 67 – Freebie points | 26 |
| Anomaly 68 – Freebie points | 32 |

| Anomaly Number | Average Points | Maximum Point Allotment | Percentage |
|--|----------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Anomaly 1 – Insider threat/login failure | 2.274509804 | 4 | 0.568627451 |
| Anomaly 2 – Insider threat/(>50 logins) | 2.1666666667 | 4 | 0.5416666667 |
| Anomaly 3 – Insider threat/same page requests | 3.692307692 | 4 | 0.923076923 |
| Anomaly 4 – Insider threat/diff. page requests | 3.61 | 4 | 0.9025 |
| Anomaly 5 – Insider threat/fast doc. requests | 3.512195122 | 4 | 0.87804878 |
| Anomaly 6 – Insider threat/diff. machine logins. | 5.230769231 | 8 | 0.653846154 |
| Anomaly 7 – Insider threat/diff. location logins | 6.4 | 8 | 0.8 |
| Anomaly 8 – Insider threat/ 403 requests | 4 | 8 | 0.5 |
| Anomaly 9 – Insider threat/HTTP methods | 7.2 | 8 | 0.9 |
| Anomaly 10 – Insider threat/void search results | 7.428571429 | 8 | 0.928571429 |
| Anomaly 11 – Insider threat/odd login times | 4.551724138 | 11 | 0.413793103 |
| Anomaly 12 – Insider threat/odd UserAgent | 5.454545455 | 12 | 0.454545455 |
| Anomaly 13 – Insider threat/direct connection | 14.28571429 | 20 | 0.714285714 |
| Anomaly 14 – Insider threat/timed searches | 15 | 20 | 0.75 |
| Anomaly 15 – Insider threat/HSTS bypass | 19.33333333 | 20 | 0.9666666667 |
| Anomaly 16 – Cryptopuzzle | 16.66666667 | 20 | 0.833333333 |
| Anomaly 17 – Brute force | 1.6 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Anomaly 18 – CVE analysis | 1.153846154 | 4 | 0.288461538 |
| Anomaly 19 – Phishing report | 1.75 | 4 | 0.4375 |
| Anomaly 20 – Splunk | 6 | 8 | 0.75 |
| Anomaly 21 – Forensics /ransom demand | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 22 – Forensics/ data offset | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 23 – Forensics/ log analysis 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 24 – Forensics/ log analysis 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 25 – Forensics/ log analysis 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Anomaly 26 – Forensics/ log analysis 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 27 – Forensics/ log analysis 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 |

TABLE III. AVERAGE POINTS PER ANOMALY

| Anomaly Number | Average Points | Maximum Point Allotment | Percentage |
|---|----------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Anomaly 28 – Forensics/ log analysis 6 | 1.333333333 | 4 | 0.333333333 |
| Anomaly 29 – Forensics/ file carving 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 30 – Forensics / picture analysis | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 31 – Forensics/ cryptography | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 32 – Forensics analysis | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 33 – Memory dump pw analysis | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 34 – Bad file analysis | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 35 – pcap analysis 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 36 – pcap analysis 2 | 3 | 4 | 0.75 |
| Anomaly 37 – Snort | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Anomaly 38 – Network analysis | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 39 – Malware analysis | 2.5 | 8 | 0.3125 |
| Anomaly 40 – Encryption key analysis 1 | 4 | 8 | 0.5 |
| Anomaly 41 – pcap analysis 3 | 0.470588235 | 8 | 0.058823529 |
| Anomaly 42 – DDL Tracking | 2.222222222 | 8 | 0.277777778 |
| Anomaly 43 – pcap analysis 4 | 2.5 | 8 | 0.3125 |
| Anomaly 44 – pcap analysis | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 45 – Wireshark | 3.826086957 | 4 | 0.956521739 |
| Anomaly 46 – Phishing email | 0.615384615 | 8 | 0.076923077 |
| Anomaly 47 – Image analysis | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Anomaly 48 – pcap analysis 5 | 7.272727273 | 8 | 0.909090909 |
| Anomaly 49 – pcap analysis 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Anomaly 50 – File analysis | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Anomaly 51 – Asymmetric cryptography | 5.12 | 8 | 0.64 |
| Anomaly 52 – Malware origin analysis | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Anomaly 53 – Iptables | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Anomaly 54 – pcap analysis 7 | 1.333333333 | 8 | 0.1666666667 |
| Anomaly 55 – shell callback | 2.307692308 | 5 | 0.461538462 |

| Anomaly Number | Average Points | Maximum Point Allotment | Percentage |
|--|----------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Anomaly 56 – pcap analysis 8 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Anomaly 57 – pcap analysis 9 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Anomaly 58 – Web exploits | 5 | 10 | 0.5 |
| Anomaly 59 – Encryption key analysis 2 | 10 | 20 | 0.5 |
| Anomaly 60 – HIPAA trivia | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Anomaly 61 – NICE framework trivia | 1 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Anomaly 62 – NIST framework trivia | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Anomaly 63 – Bloom Taxonomy trivia | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Anomaly 64 – NICE framework trivia | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Anomaly 65 – Zachman framework trivia | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Anomaly 66 – Encryption trivia | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Anomaly 67 – Freebie points | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Anomaly 68 – Freebie points | 3 | 3 | 1 |